

THE UNIVERD SHATES OF AMERICA

To all to whom these exesents shall come: Hinner Hi-Bred International, Inc.

THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLEMISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE UGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR STOCKING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN, FIELD

'PHEHG'

In Testimonn Macrest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Bariety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty-third day of November, in the year two thousand and seven.

Altost:

Glo Zon

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Secreta Sure

REPRODUCE LOCALLY, include form number and o	late on all reprod	luctions				Form Approved - OMB No. 0581-0055
U.S. DEPARTMEN AGRICULTURAL N SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PI	MARKETING SERV	VICE	th	e Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of	1995,	ve with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VAR (Instructions and information coll			(7	plication is required in order to detern U.S.C, 2421). Information is held con	nine if a p nfidential	olant variety protection certificate is to be issued until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF OWNER Ploneer Hi-Bred	Internatio	nal, Inc.	2.	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NAME	3. VA	RIETY NAME PHEHG
. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City,		<u> </u>	5.	TELEPHONE (include area code)	I	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
	62 nd Avenu	ıe	6.	515/270-4051 FAX (include area code)	2	NUMBER 2 0 0 5 0 0 2 5 8
IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON", ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership, association		8. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION Iowa	9.	515/253-2125 DATE OF INCORPORATION March 5, 1999		May 17, 2005
0. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESE	Steven arch and P P.O	R. Anderson Product Development Box 85 , IA 50131-0085		n listed will receive all papers)	PEES RECEIVED	\$ 36 52.00 DATE 5/17/05 CERTIFICATION FEE: \$ 768.00 DATE 10/15/07
TELEPHONE (Include area code)	12. FAX (Includ	le area code)		13. E-MAIL		
515/270-4051		515/253-2125		stev	en.an	derson@pioneer.com
4. CROP KIND (Common Name)	16. FAMILY NA			18. DOES THE VARIETY CONTA	IN ANY	TRANSGENES? (OPTIONAL)
5. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME OF CROP	17 IS THE VAR	Gramineae RIETY A FIRST GENERATION HYBR	ND?	☐ YES 🖾 NO		
Zea Mays	☐ YES	NO NO	ab :	APPROVED PETITION TO D COMMERICALIZATION.	EREGUI	D USDA-APHIS REFERENCE NUMBER FOR THE LATE THE GENETICALLY MODIFIED PLANT FOR
CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTA (Follow instructions on reverse)	ACHMENT SUBMI	TTED		20. DOES THE OWNER SPECIFY OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See	THAT S	SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD AS A CLASS 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act)
	-			☐ YES (If "ves", answer i	tems 21	
 d. Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Ve. e. Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of the Cf. f. Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreated serification that tissue culture will be deporepository) g. Filing and Examination Fee (\$3,652), mac States" (Mail to the Plant Variety Protection 	Owner's Ownership seeds or, for tuber sited and maintain te payable to "Trea	propagated varieties, ned in an approved public		☐ YES ☐ NO I	THAT S	UNDATION ☐ REGISTERED ☐ CERTIFIED SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO
				IF YES, SPECIFY THE NUMB	ER 1,2,3	, etc. FOR EACH CLASS.
					GISTER	ED CERTIFIED please use the space indicated on the reverse.)
3. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVE FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSE OTHER COUNTRIES?				24. IS THE VARIETY OR ANY CO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	MPONE RIGHT (1	NT OF THE VARIETY PROTECTED BY PLANT BREEDER'S RIGHT OR PATENT)?
⊠ YES □ NO				□ YES 🖾 NO		
IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE OF FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTA	FIRST SALE, DISF NCES. <i>(Please us</i>	POSITION, TRANSFER, OR USE se space indicated on reverse.)		IF YES, PLEASE GIVE COUNT REFERENCE NUMBER. (Plea		TE OF FILING OR ISSUANCE AND ASSIGNED space indicated on reverse.)
5. The owners declare that a viable sample of bas for a tuber propagated variety a tissue culture v	sic seed of the vari vill be deposited in	iety has been furnished with applicati n a public repository and maintained	on and for the	will be replenished upon request in a duration of the certificate.	accordan	ce with such regulations as may be applicable, or
The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of entitled to protection under the provisions of Se	his sexually reproc ction 42 of the Pla	duced or tuber propagated plant varie nt Variety Protection Act.	ety, and	believe(s) that the variety is new, di	stińct, ur	niform, and stable as required in Section 42, and is
Owner(s) is (are) informed that false representa	tion herein can jed	ppardize protection and result in pena	alties.			
IGNATURE OF OWNER		·	SIGNA	TURE OF OWNER	1	D 1 1
		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Sti	en	& Myleren
IAME (Please print or type)			NAME	(Please print or type)		
				Steve	en R.	Anderson
APACITY OR TITLE	DATE			esearch Scientist	DATE	-16-2005
	L			(0		mention advantion burden statement

3ENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), **ALL** of the following items must be **received** in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to **reproduce** the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that. will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$3,652 (\$432 illing fee and \$3,220 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 401, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initiated and dated. **DO NOT** use masking materials o make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$432 for issuanc of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvpo/pvpindex.htm

Fo avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority and provide evidence that name has been cleared by the appropriate recognized authority before the Certificate of Protection is issued. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 401 NAL Building, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-5682 http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed.htm.

TEM

19a.Give:

- (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
- (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
- (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
- (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- I9b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
 - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 19c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 19d.Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 19e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 20. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 23. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- 24. See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)
- 23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)
 - Nov. 1, 2004 United States, Nov. 1 2004 Canada
- 24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

NOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. The fees for filing a change of address; owner's representative; ownership or assignment; or any nodification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The alid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing astructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

he U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, of protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information 3 raille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

o file a compleint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD) SDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

:T-470 (04-03) designed by the Plant Variety Protection Office using Word 2002.

Exhibit A: Developmental history for PHEHG

Pedigree: PH1MC/PH51H)X93322111X

Pioneer Line PHEHG, Zea mays L., a yellow endosperm, dent corn, inbred, was developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from the single cross hybrid PH1MC X PH51H (PVP Certificate Number 200000221) using the pedigree method of plant breeding. Varieties PH1MC and PH51H are proprietary inbred lines of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Variety PH1MC was derived by pedigree selection from PHEH4 X PHTD5 (PVP Certificate Number 9400095). Variety PHEH4 was derived by pedigree selection from PHP02 (PVP Certificate Number 8800212) X a synthetic open pollinated population called (SMER6\$OP). According to one of our breeders, variety SMER6\$OP originated as a Pioneer composite of more southern (multi-eared) germplasm. It was derived from SMER. SMER originated from Dr. William Brown as a "prolific composite". It was later selected for 12-13 cycles of early flowering under high population at Mankato, MN. It also had 7 cycles of self and sib to improve the stalk strength. Selfing was practiced from the above hybrid for 10 generations using pedigree selection. During line development, crosses were made to inbred testers for the purpose of estimating the line's combining ability. Yield trials were grown at Woodstock, Ontario as well as other Pioneer research locations. After initial testing, additional hybrid combinations have been evaluated and subsequent generations of the line have been grown and hand-pollinated with observations again made for uniformity.

Variety PHEHG has shown uniformity and stability for all traits as described in Exhibit C - "Objective Description of Variety". It has been self-pollinated and ear-rowed 9 generations with careful attention paid to selection criteria and uniformity of plant type to assure genetic homozygousity and phenotypic stability. The line has been increased both by hand and in isolated fields with continued observations for uniformity and stability, and for 6 generations during the final stages of inbred development and seed multiplication. Very high standards for genetic purity have been established morphologically using field observations and using sound lab electrophoresis methodology.

No variant traits have been observed or are expected in PHEHG.

The criteria used in the selection of PHEHG were yield, both per se and in hybrid combinations. Late season plant health and late season root lodging, grain quality, stalk lodging resistance, and kernel size were also important criteria considered during selection. Other selection criteria include:

JMS S17107 per applicant

We know that variety PHEH4 was coded around 1989. So, SMER originated some time before then. I believe that SMER was considered a matter of common knowledge to breeders at the time. I do not have a list but the components are believed to have had multi-eared characteristics (MER).

Exhibit A: Developmental history for PHEHG

Pedigree Grown	Inbreeding Level of
Season/Year	Pedigree Grown
PH1MC	F0
Summer 1997	
PH51H	F0
Summer 1997	
PH51H/PH1MC	F1
Winter 1997	
PH51H/PH1MC)X	F2
PH51H/PH1MC)X9	F3
Summer 1999	
PH51H/PH1MC)X93	F4
Summer 2000	
PH51H/PH1MC)X933	F5
Summer 2001	
PH1MC/PH51H)X9332	F6
Winter 2001	
PH1MC/PH51H)X93322	F7
Summer 2002	
PH1MC/PH51H)X933221	F8
Winter 2002	
PH1MC/PH51H)X9332211	F9
Winter 2003	·
PH1MC/PH51H)X93322111	F10
SUMMER 2004	
PH1MC/PH51H)X93322111X	F11 (Seed)

^{*}PHEHG was selfed and ear-rowed from F2 through F10 generation.
#Uniformity and stability were established from F5 through F11 generation and beyond when seed supplies were increased.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHEHG mostly resembles Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. proprietary inbred line PH51H (PVP Certificate No. 200000221). Table 1 shows two sample t-tests on data collected primarily in Johnston and Dallas Center, Iowa in 2004. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHEHG has a shorter ear length (12.3 cm vs 14.9 cm) than variety PH51H (Table 1).

Variety PHEHG has a greater kernel length (11.8 mm vs 10.7 mm) than variety PH51H (Table 1).

Table 1a shows two sample t-tests on data collected in the area of adaptation for PHEHG. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHEHG has a lower EYESPT score (5.3 vs 6.3) than variety PH51H (Table 1a).

Definitions:

EYESPT = EYE SPOT (*Kabatiella zeae or Aureobasidium zeae*). A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Eye Spot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance. Data are collected only when sufficient selection pressure exists in the experiment measured.

and the state of the control of the state of

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Table(s)

Ear length (cm)

evidence for differences between PHEHG and PH51H. Varieties were grown in 3 locations that had different environmental conditions. Environments had Table 1: Data from Johnston and Dallas Center, Iowa in 2004 presented by trait, across environments, and broken out by environment. Data are supporting different planting dates and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

Level	Environment	Year	Variety-1	Variety-2	Cnt-1	Cnt-2	Mean-1	Mean-2	Mean_Diff	StDev-1	StDev-2	StErr-1	StErr-2	DF	t-Value	Prob_Pool
Over All		•	PHEHG	PH51H	15	15	12.3	14.9	-2.5	1.047	0.743	0.270	0.192	28	-7.6	0.000
Environment	Environment JHBJH32004		PHEHG	PHS1H	ĸ	ž,	12.8	14.8	-2.0	0.447	0.837	0.200	0.374	∞	4.7	0.002
Environment	Environment JHBDA62004		PHEHG	PH51H	'n	ν,	12.8	15.4	-2.6	0.837	0.548	0.374	0.245	∞	-5.8	0.000
Environment	Environment JHYDC22004	٠	PHEHG	PH51H	ĸ	5	11.4	14.4	-3.0	1.140	0.548	0.510	0.245	œ	-5.3	0.001
Kernel length (mm)	ı (mm)												•		·	
Level	Environment	Year	Variety-I	Variety-2	Cnt-1	Cnt-2	Mean-1	~	Mean_Diff S		StDev-2	StErr-1	StErr-2	DF	t-Value	Prob_Pool
Over All			PHEHG	РН51Н	15	15	11.8	10.7	1.1	0.561	0.724	0.145	0.187	28	4.8	0.000
Environment	Environment JHBJH32004		PHEHG	PH51H	S	5	11.8	9.01	1.2	0.837	0.548	0.374	0.245	∞	2.7	0.028
Environment	Environment JHBDA62004		PHEHG	PH51H	5	νo	12.0	10.6	1.4	0.000	1.140	0.000	0.510	\$	2.7	0.025
Environment	Environment JHYDC22004		PHEHG	PH51H	ς.	5	11.6	10.8	8.0	0.548	0.447	0.245	0.200	∞	2.5	0.035

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Table(s)

Table 1a: Data from the area of adaptation for PHEHG presented by trait, across environments, and broken out by environment. Data are supporting evidence for differences between PHEHG and PH51H. Varieties were grown in different locations that had different environmental conditions. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

				EYESPT	EYESPT	EYESPT	FYFSPT	FYESPT	
			score	score	score	score	score	score	
-				ABS	ABS	ABS	ABS	ABS	
GE	ЭE								
Name1		EXPT_YEAR	Mean1	Mean2	#Locs	Diff	SD1	SD2	
PHEHG		2004	5	9	_	7			
PHEHG	PH51H	2005	5.5	6.5	~ -	7			
PHEHG			5.3	6.3	2	1	0.4	0.4	

Based on previous discussions with the PVP office the traits longitudinal creases and marginal leaf waves were not collected. These traits have low distinguishing power and are variable due to daily fluctuations in water status of the plants. Therefore, we eliminated them from our process based on previous feedback from the PVP office. For insect or disease traits we included data from disease pressure locations only if they were available and paired with the public check. Most often diseases and insect trials are conducted on hybrids since that is the product ultimately sold. In addition, creating consistent disease pressure and infestation levels is costly and difficult.

In cases where less than 15 observations are presented the trait was collected at the plot level as it always has been done in the past. This means many more plants were visually evaluated according to the procedure outlined below, and then a score of the "population" of the plants was recorded for each location.

The experimental design and methods for 2004 were as follows:

The experiment procedures involved three environments with different planting dates per year, planted in 17.42 ft. rows with 2 rows for each variety. Approximately 24-30 plants emerged in each of 2 rows for a total of around 48 to 60 plants being evaluated at each location and 144 to 180 plants across locations. For plant level traits, we sampled 5 representative plants from the 2 rows of the 2 row plot (group) of plants at each location. For plot level traits we evaluated the 2 row plot (group) and gave a representative score or average on the 48-60 plants in the group within an experiment.

Some traits can be especially variable under different environmental factors influenced by weather, soil type, or planting dates. Varying temperatures or day length could impact the meristem growth during various tissue differentiation stages. The meristem differentiation of the ear and other tissues could be impacted as well as the success of pollination during flowering and frequency of kernel abortion during grain fill.

We have included weather data in the table that follows.

Month	GROW	GROWING DEGREE	EE UNITS (GDU'S)	GDU's)	2	PRECIPITATION	ON (inches)	Tig.
	20	2003	20	2004	20	2003		2004
	D. Center	Johnston	D. Center	Johnston	D. Center	Johnston	D Center	Johnston
May	375	380	548	527	5.7	5.43	7 19	7.75
June	909	604	609	610	1.92	4 23	1 97	2 2
July	628	782	723	736	0.18	3.4	2 29	200
August	795	786	612	615	0.44	0.51	1 95	4 05
September	456	468	598	260	2.19	2.52	138	1 24
TOTAL	2860	3020	3090	3048	10,43	16.09	14.78	21.87

Calculate GDU's Growing Degree Units use following formula: GDU = ((T1+T2)/2).50

Where T1 = minimum temperature for a given day with 50 degrees Fahrenheit as the minimum temperature used and 86 degrees Fahrenheit is the maximum temperature used. Where T2 = maximum temperature for a given day with 86 degrees Fahrenheit as the maximum temperature used. GDU's are calculated each day and accumulated (summed) over certain number of days.

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service Science and Technology, Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Room 400 Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY CORN (Zea mays L.)

Name of Applicant(s) Pioneer Hi-Bred Inte	rnational, Inc	l Variety I	Seed Source		Variety Name or T PHEHG	emporary De	signation
	, or R.F.D. No., City, State, Z			FOR OFFICIAL	.USE I	PVPO Nun	nber
7301 NW 62nd Aven	ue, P.O. Box 85, Johnston,	owa 50131-0085	· ·		20	050	1258
adding leading zeroes	number that describes the va if necessary. Completeness for an adequate variety desc	should be striven	for to establish a	red variety in the an adequate varie	spaces below. Right ety description. Traits	justify whole i designated b	numbers by y a "*" are
COLOR CHOICES (U 01. Light Green 02. Medium Green 03. Dark Green 04. Very Dark Green 05. Green-Yellow	se in conjunction with Munse 06. Pale Yellow 07. Yellow 08. Yellow-Orange 09. Salmon 10. Pink-Orange	ll color code to de 11. Pink 12. Light Red 13. Cherry Red 14. Red 15. Red & Whi	16. Pal 17. Pur 18. Col 19. Wh	e Purple ple orless	#25 and #26 in Comr 21. Buff 22. Tan 23. Brown 24. Bronze 25. Variegated (Des	26. Other (D): escribe)
Yellow Dent Families Family B14 CB37 EB73 C103 Oh43	CHOICES [Use the most sim Members CM105, A632, B64, B68 337, B76, H84 1192, A679, B73, Nc268 Mo17, Va102, Va35, A682 Mo19, MS71, H99, Va26 V64A, A554, A654, Pa91	ilar (in backgroun Yellow Dent (Unn Co109, N Oh7, T23: W117, W W182BN White Dent: Cl66, H10	elated): D246 2 153R	of these to make	Sweet Corn: C13, Iowa512 Popcorn:	25, P39, 2132 722, HP301,	
1. TYPE: (describe in	ntermediate types in "Comme , 2=Dent, 3=Flint, 4=Flour, 5=		ital, 7=Pipecorn)	1.00	I Standard Inbred I 2 Type	Name A	A554
	DEVELOPED IN THE U.S.A st, 2=N.Central, 3=N.East, 4=		ral, 6=S.West, 7	=Other	I Standard Seed S I Region	Source /	AMES 19305
	gion Best Adaptability; show IEAT UNITS 1.188.8 From emergence 1.192.8 From emergence 38 From 10% to 90% From 50% silk to a from 50% silk to be	to 50% of plants i to 50% of plants i pollen shed optimum edible qu	n silk n pollen uality	section):	DAYS 56 56 2 2	<u>1,</u>	NITS 163.5 164.8 38
80.7 cm Ear H 15.3 cm Lengt 0.0 Average 1.1 Average	Height (to tassel tip) leight (to base of top ear node h of Top Ear Internode Number of Tillers Number of Ears per Stalk nin of Brace Roots: 1=Absen		St.Dev. 20.41 12.78 1.72 0.00 0.07 lerate, 4=Dark	_	I <u>186.0</u> I <u>64.7</u>	St.Dev. 9.52 11.84 1.99 0.02 0.09	Sample Size 30 30 30 30 6 6
Application Variety D	ata		Page 1		I Standard Inbred	Data	

Application Variety Data	Page 2	a more notices and considerate the following the following services of a	Standard Inbred	LANGUAGE CONTRACTOR	
		•	Otandara mbred i	Dala	
5. LEAF	St.Dev.	Sample Size 1	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Siz
7.1 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf	0.61	<u>30</u> l	<u>8.2</u>	1.23	
79.1 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf	4.83	<u>30</u> I	<u>67.5</u>	4.31	
6.0 Number of leaves above top ear	0.76	<u>30</u> I	5.8	0.90	
23.8 Degrees Leaf Angle	3.63	<u>30</u> l	<u>29.3</u>	6.98	3
(Measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above	ove leaf)	1		•	ś
4 Leaf Color (Munsell Code) 7.5GY34 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many	o 9=like peach f	uzz) !	3 (Munsell 3	Code) <u>5G</u>	<u>44</u>
Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=nany		i			
5. TASSEL:	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Si
13.0 Number of Primary Lateral Branches	<u>2.91</u>	<u>30</u> I	10.9	<u>2.56</u>	<u>.</u>
34.4 Degrees Branch Angle from Central Spike	12.61	<u>30</u> l	<u>21.1</u>	<u>9.55</u>	;
51.7 cm tassel Length	7.71	<u>30</u> I	<u>50.7</u>	<u>3.82</u>	<u>:</u>
(from top leaf collar to tassel tip)		I			
6 Pollen Shed (Rate on scale from 0=male sterile to 9=he	avy shed)	I	<u>6</u>		1
<u>14</u> Anther Color (Munsell Code) <u>7.5RP38</u>		I		Code) <u>5Y8</u>	
2 Glume Color (Munsell Code) 7.5GY56		I	2 (Munsell	Code) <u>5GY</u>	<u> 166</u>
1 Bar Glumes (Glume Bands): 1=Absent, 2=Present		1	<u>1</u>		•
7a. EAR (Unhusked Data):		<u> </u>			
<u>1</u> Silk Color (3 days after emergence) (Munsell Code)		<u>GY</u> 78 I	12 Munsell		
2 Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (Munsell 6)	2 Munsell		
21 Dry Husk Color (65 days after 50% silking) (Munsell Co		<u>Y8.54</u> I	21 Munsell	Code <u>2.5\</u>	<u> (8.5/1</u>
2 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=Horizo		t ¹ l	<u>3</u>		•
5 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 9≂	very tight		3 7 2		
Husk Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed), 2=	=Medium (<8cm	ı), 3=Long i	<u>2</u>		
(8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm)		ı			
7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data)	St. Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Si
12.6 cm Ear Length	0.86	· <u>30</u> I	<u>9.5</u>	1.04	
45.7 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point	2.29	<u>30</u> I		3.00	3
115.2 gm Ear Weight	1 <u>6.18</u>	<u>30</u> Ⅰ	<u>64.8</u>	15.62	2
17.7 Number of Kernel Rows	1.40	<u>30</u> Ⅰ	<u>13.3</u>	1.21	2
2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct		· I	<u>2</u>		
Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=Spira	ıl	Ī	<u>2</u>		
8.3 cm Shank Length	2.68	<u>30</u> l	<u>8.6</u>	<u>2.97</u>	
2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3=Ext		_ 1	<u>2</u>		
. KERNEL (Dried):	St.Dev.	Sample Size 1	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Si
11.3 mm Kernel Length	<u>0.70</u>	<u>30</u> I	<u>10.1</u>	<u>0.87</u>	
7.8 mm Kernel Width	0.76	<u>30</u> I	<u>7.6</u>	<u>0.61</u>	
5.2 mm Kernel Thickness	0.75	<u>30</u> I	4.2	<u>0.43</u>	
49.9 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade)	4.34	<u>6</u> I	<u>21.6</u>	3.87	
1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segregating	g (describe)		1 (describe	e)	
7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 10YR7	7 <u>114</u>	I	9 Munseli		.5YR7/12
7 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code) 10YR7		. 1	<u>7</u> Munseli	_	<u>0YR7/12</u>
3 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(sh2), 3			3 (describe	e)	-
Amylose Starch, 5=Waxy Starch, 6=High Protein, 7=High	h Lysine, 8≓Sur	per Sweet I			
(se), 9=High Oil, 10=Other					
25.5 gm Weight per 100 kernels (unsized sample)	3.27	<u>6</u> l	<u>20.7</u>	<u>3.14</u>	
. COB:	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev	Sample S
, COB.	1.06	30 l	22.6	1.50	- Carripio O
27.3 mm Coh Diameter at mid point			<u> </u>	1.00	- (
27.3 mm Cob Diameter at mid-point		i	10 Munse	il Code	10R4 <u>8</u>
27.3 mm Cob Diameter at mid-point 12 Cob Color (Munsell Code) 7.5R5		<u> </u>	10 Munse		10R4 <u>8</u>

Application Variety Data	Page 3	l Standard Inbred Data
Ofher (Specify)		Other (Specify)
_ Fusarim Ear and Kernel Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)Gibberella Ear Rot (Gibberella zeae)		I Fusarium Ear & Kernel Rot Gibberella Ear Rot
_ Diplodia Ear Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		_ Diplodia Ear Rot
_ Aspergillus Ear and Kernel Rot (Aspergillus flavus)		Aspergillus Ear & Kernel Rot
D. Ear and Kernel Rots		
_ Other (Specify)		Other (Specify)
Gibberella Stalk Rot (Gibberella zeae)		I Gibberella Stalk Rot
Fusarium Stalk Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)		I Fusarium Stalk Rot
Diplodia Stalk Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		I Diplodia Stalk Rot
Anthracnose Stalk Rot (Colletotrichum graminicola)		I Anthracnose Stalk Rot
C. Stalk Rots		
Other (Specify)	''	Other (Specify)
Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn (Peronosclerospora sorgh	<u>i)</u>	Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn
Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus (MCMV) Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus (MDMV) Strain		I Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus Strain
_ Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus (MCDV) Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus (MCMV)		Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus
Head Smut (Sphacelotheca reiliana)		I Head Smut I Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus
Corn Lethal Necrosis (MCMV and MDMV)		I Corn Lethal Necrosis
B. Systemic Diseases		Corn Lethal Macrosia
_ Other (Specify)		Other (Specify)
Stewart's Wilt (Erwinia stewartii)		Stewart's Wilt
Southern Rust (Puccinia Polysora)		l Southern Rust
Southern Leaf Blight (Bipolaris maydis)	Race	I Southern Leaf Blight Race_
Northern Leaf Blight (Exserohilum turcicum)	Race	I Northern Leaf Blight Race_
 Helminthosporium Leaf Spot (Bipolaris zeicola) 	Race	I _ Helminthosporium Leaf Spot Race_
Gray Leaf Spot (Cercospora zeae-maydis)		I — Gray Leaf Spot
Goss's Wilt (Clavibacter michiganense spp. nebraskensis)		I Goss's Wilt
Eyespot (Kabatiella zeae)		I Eyespot
_ Common Smut (Ustilago maydis)		Common Smut
Common Rust (Puccinia sorghi)		I Common Rust
_ Anthracnose Leaf Blight (Colletotrichum graminicola)		I Anthracnose Leaf Blight
A. Leaf Blights, Wilts, and Local Infection Diseases		
if not tested; leave Race or Strain Options blank if polygenic):		i

Note: Use chart on first page to choose color codes for color traits.

Application Variety Data	Page 4	l Standard Inbred Data
INSECT RESISTANCE (Rate from 1(most susceptible if not tested) to 9 (most resistant); Leave blant St. Dev. Sample Size	e 1 St. Dev. Sample Siz I _ Banks Grass Mite I Corn Earworm
Leaf Feeding Silk Feedingmg larval wtEar DamageCorn Leaf Aphid (Rhopalosiphum maidis)Corn Sap Beetle (Carpophilus dimidiatus) European Corn Borer (Ostrinia nubilalis) 1 st Generation (Typically Whorl Leaf Feeding)2 nd Generarion (Typically Leaf Sheath-Collar Fe Stalk Tunneling:cm tunneled/plant Fall Armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda)Leaf-Feedingmg larval wtMaize Weevil (Sitophilus zeamais)	eding)	Leaf Feeding Lear Damage Corn Leaf Aphid Corn Sap Beetle European Corn Borer 1 st Generation 2 nd Generation Fall Armyworm Leaf-Feeding Maize Weevil
_ Northern Rootworm (Diabrotica barberi) _ Southern Rootworm (Diabrotica undecimpunctata Southwestern Corn Borer (Diatraea grandiosella) _ Leaf Feeding Stalk Tunneling: cm tunneled/plant _ Two-spotted Spider Mite (Tetranychus urticae) _ Western Rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera) _ Other (Specify)) 	Northern Rootworm Southern Rootworm Southwestern Corn Borer Leaf Feeding Two-spotted Spider Mite Western Rootworm Other (Specify)
12. AGRONOMIC TRAITS: 4 Stay Green (at 65 days after anthesis) (Rate on some second s	nthesis)	I 2 Stay Green I % Dropped ears I % Pre-anthesis Brittle Snapping I 47 % Pre-anthesis Root Lodging Post-anthesis Root Lodging I 3,031.0 Yield
13. MOLECULAR MARKERS: (0=data unavailable; 1=data	available but not supplied; 2=data	a supplied.)
1 Isozymes _ RFLP's	_ RAPD's	_ Other (Specify)
REFERENCES: Butler, D.R. 1954. A System for the Classification of Corn II Emerson, R.A., G.W. Beadle, and A.C. Fraser, 1935. A sur Farr, D.F., G.F. Bills, G.P. Chamuris, A.Y. Rossman. 1989. Society, St. Paul, MN.	nmary of Linkage Studies in Maize	e. Cornell A.E.S., Mem. 180.
Inglett, G.E. (Ed) 1970. Corn: Culture, Processing, Products Jugenheimer, R.W. 1976. Corn: Improvement, Seed Products McGee, D.C. 1988. Maize Diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, I Munsell Color Chart for Plant Tissues. Macbeth. P.O. Box 2 The Mutants of Maize. 1968. Crop Science Society of Amer Shurtleff, M.C. 1980. Compendium of Corn Diseases. APS Sprague, G.F., and J.W. Dudley (Editors). 1988. Corn and Madison. Wi	ction, and Uses. John Wiley & Soi MN. 150 pp. 230. Newburgh, N.Y. 12551-0230 rica. Madison, WI. Press, St. Paul, MN. 105 pp.	ns, New York.

COMMENTS (e.g. state how heat units were calculated, standard inbred seed source, and/or where data was collected. Continue in Exhibit D)

Insect, disease, brittle snapping and root lodging data are collected mainly from environment where variability for the trait can be obtained within the experiment.

Stringfield, G.H. Maize Inbred Lines of Ohio A.E.S., Bul. 831. 1959. U.S. Department of Agriculture 1936, 1937. Yearbook.

CLARIFICATION OF DATA IN EXHIBITS B AND C

Please note the data presented in Exhibit B and C, "Objective Description of Variety," are collected primarily at Johnston and Dallas Center, Iowa. The data in Table 1 are from two sample t-tests using data collected in Johnston and Dallas Center, IA. These traits in Exhibit B collectively show distinct differences between the two varieties.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on all reproductions. IJ.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE EXHIBIT E	Application is required in order to determine certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) confidential until the certificate is issued (ne if a plant variety protection The information is held
STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP 1.NAME OF APPLICANT(S) PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME PHEHG
4 ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country) 7301 NW 62 nd AVENUE P.O.BOX 85 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0085	5.TELEPHONE (include area code) 515-270-4051 7.PVPO NUMBER	6. FAX (include area code) 515-253-2125 20050025
		П NO

10. Is the applicant the original owner?

YES
NO If no, please answer one of the following:

- a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. National(s)?
 - ☐ YES ☐ NO If no, give name of country
- b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. based company?
 - ☑ YES ☐ NO If no, give name of country
- 11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original breeder to current owner. Use the reverse for extra space if needed):

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (PHI), Des Moines, Iowa, and/or its wholly owned subsidiary Pioneer Overseas Corporation (POC), Des Moines, Iowa, is the employer of the plant breeders involved in the selection and development of PHEHG. Pioneer Hi-Bred International and/or Pioneer Overseas Corporation has the sole rights and ownership of PHEHG pursuant to written contracts that assign all rights in the variety to PHI and/or POC at the time such variety was created. No rights to this variety are retained by any individuals.

PLEASE NOTE:

Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licensees) who meet the following criteria:

- 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a U.S. national, national of a UPOV member country, or national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- 2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, owned by nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- 3. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner and the applicant must meet one of the above criteria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed the final breeding. See Section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definitions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information:

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity provide and employer.